1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney			
2 3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division			
4 5	SARAH HAWKINS (CABN 257723) Assistant United States Attorney			
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7126 Fax: (415) 436-7207 Email: Sarah.Hawkins@usdoj.gov			
<ul><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	Attorneys for United States of America			
0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
1	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO. CR- 15-194 -JST		
4	V. )	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM OTHERWISE		
l5 l6	ASHLEY CEDRICK SMITH,  Defendant.	APPLICABLE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION		
7				
18	STIPULATION STIPULATION			
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through undersigned counsel, that:			
20	1. The parties appeared before the Court on August 21, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. for a status			
21	hearing. Mr. Smith was present and represented by Assistant Federal Public Defender Joyce Leavitt.			
22	Assistant United States Attorney Sarah Hawkins appeared for the Government. The parties requested a			
23	continuance of the matter, with time excluded for effective preparation of counsel.			
24	2. Accordingly, with the parties' agreement as to the new date, the Court scheduled another			
25	hearing for October 16, 2015 at 9:30 a.m., at which time there will be either a change of plea or setting			
26	of a motion schedule. The Court set this date with the understanding that the parties would submit a			
27	Stipulation and Proposed Order excluding time.			
28	3. The parties now formalize their request for exclusion of time in this matter and respectfully			
	STIP. AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME 1 CR 15-194 JST			

1	submit and agree that the period from August 21, 2015 through and including October 16, 2015 shoul	d	
2	be excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation because the continuance is		
3	necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.		
4	4. The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary	ary	
5	for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The		
6	parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time for the purposes		
7	of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a		
8	speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
10			
11	DATED: August 25, 2015  MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
12	Officed States Attorney		
13	SARAH HAWKINS		
14	Assistant United States Attorney		
15			
16	DATED: August 25, 2015		
17	JOYCE LEAVITT		
18	Counsel for the Defendant		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the above-described Stipulation, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from August 21, 2015 through and including October 16, 2015 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT the period from August 21, 2015 through and including October 16, 2015 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 1, 2015

United States District Judge